# Dielectric and Electromechanical Properties of Barium Titanate Single Crystals Grown by Templated Grain Growth

Paul W. Rehrig, Susan Trolier-McKinstry, Member, IEEE, Seung-Eek Park, and Gary L. Messing

Abstract—Single crystals of BaTiO<sub>3</sub> were grown by templated grain growth (TGG). TGG involves contacting a single crystal "template" to a sintered polycrystalline matrix, then heating the assemblage to a temperature that promotes the migration of the single crystal boundary through the matrix. In this investigation the properties of millimeter-sized, plate-shaped and bar-shaped, single crystals of BaTiO<sub>3</sub> grown by TGG were examined in order to compare the results to single crystal grown by conventional methods. A dielectric constant,  $\frac{\varepsilon_{33}^{T}}{\varepsilon_{0}}$ , of 260, polarizations of  $P_{\rm R} \sim 16 \ \mu {\rm C/cm}^2$  and  $P_{\rm sat} \sim 21.5 \ \mu {\rm C/cm}^2$ , electromechanical coupling coefficients of  $k_{33} \sim 140 \ {\rm pC/N}$  were achieved. Entrapped porosity in the crystals made fully poling the crystals difficult.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The properties of  $BaTiO_3$  single crystals have been studied since soon after its discovery in 1943. Early studies were conducted on flux-grown crystals produced by the Remeika technique [1]. More recently, other crystal growth techniques such as the floating-zone method and top-seeded solution growth (TSSG) provided larger and higher quality crystals with fewer residual impurities and defects [2]–[5]. In recent years there has been increasing research to develop alternative routes to growing single crystals that would reduce both the cost and time-consuming nature of current techniques.

One of the techniques that has been applied to the BaTiO<sub>3</sub> system is templated grain growth (TGG) [6]–[10]. Single crystals of BaTiO<sub>3</sub> on the order of a few millimeters in size have been produced this way [10].

As observed before, the properties of crystals grown by different flux or melt techniques can differ appreciably [11]. Impurities can shift the Curie temperature, and porosity can decrease the dielectric constant, electromechanical coupling coefficients, and the transverse piezoelectric coefficient [11]–[13]. Of particular concern in the current work is that, to date, TGG BaTiO<sub>3</sub> single crystals incorporate some of the original matrix porosity. Therefore, it was the purpose of this research to determine the effect of included



Fig. 1. Scanning electron micrograph of a BaTiO<sub>3</sub> crystal grown into a Ti-rich polycrystalline matrix after 2 h at 1350°C from a (100) oriented template (Bar = 0.2 mm).

porosity on the dielectric and electromechanical properties of single crystals of  $BaTiO_3$  grown by TGG.

# II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

The method used to obtain  $BaTiO_3$  crystals in this work, TGG, involves contacting a single crystal "template" to a sintered polycrystalline matrix, then heating the assemblage to a temperature that promotes the migration of the single crystal boundary into the matrix. Millimeter-sized single crystals of BaTiO<sub>3</sub> were produced by heating a 97% dense polycrystalline matrix with a Ba/Ti ratio < 1.00 at temperatures above the eutectic temperature of 1332°C. Details of the procedure are described elsewhere [10]. A common characteristic of TGG is that matrix porosity is included in the crystals, resulting in approximately 3 vol% porosity (measured by Archimedes and stereography of scanning electron micrographs). Fig. 1 shows a SEM of a BaTiO<sub>3</sub> crystal grown into a Ti-rich polycrystalline matrix after 2 h at  $1350^{\circ}$ C from a (001) oriented template. The included porosity can be clearly seen as white dots within the grown crystal. The crystals used for property measurements were grown from (001)templates by heating in air to 1350°C for 10 h. Grown crystals were sectioned from the template and polycrystalline matrix so that property measurements were performed on the grown crystals alone (i.e., none of the original  $BaTiO_3$ 

Manuscript received May 17, 1999; accepted June 15, 1999. Financial support from the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency on AFSOR Grant No. F49620-94-1-0428 is gratefully acknowledged.

The authors are with the Materials Research Laboratory, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802 (e-mail: paulr@psu.edu).

crystal remained). The faces of grown crystals were oriented along (001) via X-ray Laue techniques and polished with a 1  $\mu$ m diamond paste, followed by gold-sputtering to form electrodes. Two sample geometries were prepared:  $\sim 1 \times \sim 4 \times 0.3$ -0.5 mm plates (rectangular shaped for dielectric, piezoelectric, and  $k_{31}$  measurements) and 0.5- $0.75 \times 0.5 - 0.75 \times 3 - 4$  mm bars (for  $k_{33}$  measurements). The rectangular plates had their faces electroded, which the measurement apparatus could directly contact. The bar-shaped samples were electroded on the ends, then wire leads were attached using silver epoxy, then were soldered during the measurement to the measurement apparatus. A multifrequency LCR meter (HP 4284A) was used in conjunction with a computer-controlled temperature chamber (Delta Design Inc., Model MK 9023) to measure the dielectric constant as a function of temperature on cooling  $(175^{\circ}C \text{ to } -100^{\circ}C, 2^{\circ}C/\text{min})$  at frequencies between 100 Hz and 100 kHz. An HP 4140B picoammeter was used in conjunction with a computer-controlled temperature chamber (Delta Design Inc., Model MK 2300) to measure the pyroelectric current from room temperature to 135°C (Byer-Roundy technique). The sample was poled by field cooling  $(10 \text{ kV/cm}, 150^{\circ}\text{C})$  in air; then, just prior to pyroelectric current measurement, the field was removed. The polarization seemed stable enough to last the duration of the measurement. The saturation polarization was calculated by integrating the pyroelectric current as a function of temperature.

High-field measurements (1–50 kV/cm) on (001) oriented crystals included simultaneous polarization (P) and strain (S) hysteresis curves obtained using a computercontrolled modified Sawyer-Tower system and a linear, variable-displacement transducer (LVDT, Lucas Schaevitz, Inc., Model 050-HR) sensor driven by a lock-in amplifier (Stanford Research Systems, Model SR830). The voltage was supplied using either a Trek 609C-6 high voltage DC amplifier or a Kepco BOP 1000M amplifier. The same system was used to measure unipolar strain curves on poled (001) oriented crystals. The value for  $d_{33}$  was estimated from the slope of the saturated part of the unipolar strain vs. electric field (E) curve. Electromechanical coupling coefficients were measured using the IEEE resonance technique (ANSI/IEEE Std. 176-1987) [14].

#### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### A. Dielectric Properties

Fig. 2 shows the dielectric constant (a) and dielectric loss (b) as functions of temperature and frequency on cooling for an unpoled TGG crystal. The crystal exhibited very little dispersion in the dielectric constant over the measured temperature range. The transition temperatures occur at  $T_{\text{O-T}}$  (orthorhombic-tetragonal) = 9°C and  $T_{\text{C}}$ (Curie temperature) = 131°C. These are in good agreement with the literature for stoichiometric single crystals of BaTiO<sub>3</sub> grown by TSSG [11], [15]. The 1 kHz room



Fig. 2. Dielectric constant, K (a) and dielectric loss,  $\tan \delta_e$  (b) as a function of temperature and frequency on cooling (175°C to -100°C, 2°C/min) for TGG grown BaTiO<sub>3</sub> single crystal measured in one of the pseudocubic (100) directions. (T<sub>R-O</sub> = rhombohedral to orthorhombic transition, T<sub>O-T</sub> = orthorhombic to tetragonal transition, T<sub>C</sub> = Curie temperature.)



Fig. 3. Dielectric constant, K (1 kHz) along the a ( $\varepsilon_a/\varepsilon_0$ ) and c ( $\varepsilon_c/\varepsilon_0$ ) axes in the tetragonal phase as a function of temperature for TGG grown BaTiO<sub>3</sub> single crystal.

temperature dielectric constant and loss tangent for the unpoled crystal are approximately 2570 and 0.003, respectively, reasonable for an unpoled crystal. The trapped porosity, as mentioned earlier, also will lower the dielectric constant based on mixing rules [16]. If we assume discrete spherical pores, the system is similar to a 0-3 composite [17]. Logarithmic mixing rules can be used to calculate the effect of porosity on the dielectric properties using the following equation:

$$\log_{10}(K) = \sum_{n} V_n \log_{10}(K_n)$$
 (1)

where K is the relative dielectric constant, n is the number of phases, and V is the volume fraction. Fig. 3 shows the temperature dependence of the dielectric constant along the  $a (\varepsilon_a/\varepsilon_0)$  and  $c (\varepsilon_c/\varepsilon_0)$  axes in the tetragonal phase measured at 1 kHz on poled samples. The room temperature values of  $\varepsilon_a/\varepsilon_0$  and  $\varepsilon_c/\varepsilon_0$  are 2430 and 770, respectively. Although the trends shown in Fig. 3 are the same as reported in the literature [11], the values for  $\varepsilon_a/\varepsilon_0$  and  $\varepsilon_c/\varepsilon_0$  are lower and higher than for a fully poled crystal, respectively, as shown in Table I. If the a-axis dielectric constant is calculated using the mixing laws and the single domain value of  $\varepsilon_a/\varepsilon_0 = 3600$ , a value of approximately 2800 would correspond to 3 vol% porosity. The experimental value of 2430 observed is lower and can be accounted for by errors in the porosity measurement (3 vol%), as well as to the partial depoling of the TGG crystals upon removal of the electric field. The 1 kHz room temperature dielectric loss tangents measured along the a-axis and caxis were 0.005 and 0.009, respectively. If one considers that one of the major contributions to dielectric loss at

TABLE I

Summary and Comparison of Dielectric Properties of (001) TGG Single Crystal of BaTiO<sub>3</sub> and Single Crystals Prepared by Top-Seeded Solution Growth as Reported in the Literature.

Property (RT, 1 kHz)	Reported value (single domain) [11], [15]	TGG Crystal
$\varepsilon_a/\varepsilon_0$	3600	2430
$\operatorname{Tan} \delta_e$ ( <i>a</i> -axis)	< 0.01	0.005
$\varepsilon_c/\varepsilon_0$	150	770
$\operatorname{Tan} \delta_e (c\text{-axis})$	< 0.01	0.009
$\varepsilon_{33}^{\mathrm{T}}/\varepsilon_0$	150	263 (DC bias, 20 kV/cm)
$\operatorname{Tan} \delta$	< 0.01	0.003
$T_{\rm C}$ (°C)	135	132
$C (^{\circ}C)$	$1.8 \times 10^5$	$1.8 \times 10^5$
$T_0$ (°C)	112	112

TABLE II Summary of Polarization Properties of (001) TGG and TSSG Single Crystals of BaTiO<sub>3</sub>.

Property	TSSG Crystal	TGG Crystal
$P_{\rm r}~(\mu{\rm C/cm^2})$	21	16
$P_{\rm sat}~(\mu { m C/cm^2})$	25	21.5
$P_{\rm sat}$ (pyro) ( $\mu {\rm C/cm^2}$ )	26 [15]	19
$E_c \; (kV/cm)$	$\sim 1 \ [16]$	3
$d_{33}~(\mathrm{pC/N})$	125	140

room temperature is domain wall motion, the low values suggest that the porosity in TGG crystals impedes domain wall motion. Also, these low values of loss tangents indicate that, although porous, the TGG crystals are of reasonable quality.

Above  $T_{\rm C}$  the Curie-Weiss law is obeyed with Curie constants and Curie-Weiss temperatures in the range of  $C = 1.5-2.0 \times 10^5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$  and  $T_0 = 107-116 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ , respectively, i.e.,  $\varepsilon_R \cong 1.8 \times 10^5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}/(T-112 \,^{\circ}\text{C})$  (averaged values). The observed value for the Curie constant is in the range expected for BaTiO<sub>3</sub> single crystals (on the order of  $10^5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ ), and is in very good agreement with Wemple [15] who reported a value of  $C = 1.8 \times 10^5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The Curie-Weiss temperature is also in good agreement with a reported value of  $112^{\circ}$ C [15].

Fig. 4 shows the small signal dielectric constant as a function of DC bias along the *c*-axis. Although the sample was poled by field cooling prior to the room temperature capacitance measurement, the sample exhibited a dielectric constant higher than expected, indicating that the sample partially depoled. The measurement was taken with the application of a DC bias along the *c*-axis in an attempt to pole the sample as fully as possible and, therefore, approach the true  $\varepsilon_{33}^{\rm T}/\varepsilon_0$  (T = stress-free state) value. This led to a room temperature  $\varepsilon_{33}^{\rm T}/\epsilon_0 = 260 \pm 10$  at 18 kV/cm, a maximum field limited by both the sample geometry and the experimental setup. As shown in Fig. 4,



Fig. 4. Dielectric constant,  $\varepsilon_{33}^{T}/\varepsilon_{0}$ , as a function of DC bias along the *c*-axis for TGG grown BaTiO<sub>3</sub> single crystal.

the value has not reached saturation at 18 kV/cm. This suggests that, at these field levels, not all domains were aligned with the applied field and that higher fields are necessary to achieve more complete polarization. Other authors [11] report that a fully poled, single-domain state was achieved in dense crystals with values of dielectric constant saturating to 150 if mechanical in addition to electrical poling was applied. This difficulty in poling the TGG crystals is probably due to pinning of some domains by the defects in the crystal, namely, the entrapped pores. Although some domains remain switchable, the poreswhich may be considered as macro-sized defects where the local electric field is distorted—and localized strain effects pin some of the domain walls, resulting in a distribution of pinned domains. Table I compares the dielectric properties of TGG crystals with values for high quality crystals from the literature.

Pyroelectric measurements of the saturation polarization confirmed that the Curie transition of TGG grown BaTiO<sub>3</sub> crystals is of the first order and occurs at approximately 133°C. The measured room temperature  $P_{\rm sat}$ was approximately 19  $\mu$ C/cm<sup>2</sup>. That this value is lower than the value for single domain BaTiO<sub>3</sub> single crystals (26  $\mu$ C/cm<sup>2</sup>) [15], [16], [18] indicates that not all the domains were aligned by application of an electric field, and results from the same origins as previously mentioned.

## B. Effect of Electric Field

Fig. 5 shows the bipolar polarization along (001) as a function of electric field at 10 Hz. The first aspect to notice is that the polarization loop is not as square as expected for a single crystal. The remanent polarization,  $P_{\rm R} \sim 12 \ \mu {\rm C/cm^2}$  (versus 26  $\mu {\rm C/cm^2}$  for single crystals

TABLE III Summary of Piezoelectric, Electromechanical, and Elastic Compliance Properties of (001) TGG and TSSG Single Crystal of BaTIO<sub>3</sub>.

Property	Reported values [16] TSSG Crystal	TGG crystal (DC bias)
$k_{33}$	0.56	$0.51 \ (2 \ kV/cm)$
$S_{33}^{\rm D}~(10^{-12}~{\rm m^2/N})$	10.8	13.2
$S_{33}^{\rm E}~(10^{-12}~{\rm m^2/N})$	15.7	17.8
$d_{33}~(\mathrm{pC/N})$	$125^{*}$	135
$g_{33} \ (10^{-3} \ {\rm Vm/N})$	57.5	30.6
$k_{31}$	0.315	$0.18 \ (18 \ kV/cm)$
$S_{11}^{\rm D}~(10^{-12}~{\rm m^2/N})$	7.25	8.1
$S_{11}^{\rm E}~(10^{-12}~{\rm m^2/N})$	8.05	8.4
$d_{31} (pC/N)$	-34.5	-26
$g_{31} \ (10^{-3} \ {\rm Vm/N})$	-23.0	-9.8

of BaTiO<sub>3</sub> grown by TSSG) [16], [18], and the coercive field,  $E_C \sim 3 \text{ kV/cm}$ , were lower and higher than BaTiO<sub>3</sub> crystals grown by TSSG, respectively. It is well-known that structural defects such as pores in the material tend to impede the motion of domain walls and, therefore, increase the electric field necessary to orient affected domains as described above. This leads to the higher coercive field. These same defects also may influence the remanent polarization characteristics, due to localized strain effects pinning domain walls. Again, the values for  $P_{\rm R}$  and  $E_{\rm C}$  are intermediate between those of other single crystals and ceramics of similar composition.

Fig. 6 shows the unipolar polarization and strain behavior along (001) direction as a function of electric field for TGG and TSSG crystals. In an attempt to observe fully saturated polarization and strain behavior of the TGG crystal, an electric field was applied until breakdown of the sample occurred. The sample had a breakdown strength of 105 kV/cm, and the data just prior to breakdown are shown in Fig. 6 (top), where the starting polarization value was normalized to the bipolar data at lower electric fields. As shown, a nonhysteretic and saturated curve at E > 80 kV/cm represents the best poling that can be achieved. However, for TSSG crystals, the curve is saturated at E < 25 kV/cm. As shown in Fig. 6 (top), the TGG and TSSG crystals show remanent polarizations of approximately 21 and 16  $\mu$ C/cm<sup>2</sup>, respectively. By extrapolating the linear portion of the curve back to zero field, under these conditions the TGG crystal has a saturation polarization,  $P_{\rm sat}$ , of approximately 21.5  $\mu C/cm^2$ . This value is lower than for the TSSG crystal  $(25 \,\mu \text{C/cm}^2)$ due to the included porosity. As a first approximation, logarithmic (1) and linear mixing laws were used to calculate  $P_s$ , assuming a single domain value of 25  $\mu$ C/cm<sup>2</sup>. The values were  $22.7 \pm 0.7 \ \mu C/cm^2$  and  $24.3 \pm 0.3 \ \mu C/cm^2$ ,



Fig. 5. Bipolar polarization as a function of electric field for (001) TGG grown BaTiO<sub>3</sub> single crystal.

respectively, for  $3 \pm 1$  vol% porosity. It is believed that the values observed were due to a combination of the lower density and the inability to completely pole the crystals.

The unipolar strain  $(S_{33})$ , if the measurements were along the polar direction) versus electric field is shown for TGG and TSSG crystals in Fig. 6 (bottom). From this curve, significant domain reorientation is observed for the TGG crystal at fields up to 65-75 kV/cm, above which the strain behavior begins to saturate. For the TSSG crystal, the hysteresis-minimized strain response saturates at E > 15 kV/cm. If the two curves are compared, it can be observed that the TGG crystal may not have reached full saturation. This is direct evidence that some domains are pinned, and even very large E-fields cannot align them. By calculating the slope in the saturated high-field region, the piezoelectric coefficient,  $d_{33}$ , was found to be ~ 140 pC/N. This value is higher than for the TSSG crystal (125 pC/N), which also indicates that, even at high E-fields, the strain still includes a contribution from domain reorientation. A summary of polarization, coercive field, and piezoelectric coefficients calculated from the polarization measurements is presented in Table II.

It has been reported that  $\{111\}$  directions are the directions along which the maximum effective  $d_{33}$  can be achieved in a BaTiO<sub>3</sub> crystal [19]. A question arose as to whether the concept of crystallographic engineering could be applied to TGG crystals. Therefore, the polarization and strain behavior of TGG-grown crystals also was measured for crystals grown from a (111) template orientation. The unipolar strain versus electric field curve exhibited significant domain reorientation up to electric fields of 10 kV/cm, above which the strain behavior begins to sat-



Fig. 6. Unipolar polarization (normalized) (top) and strain (bottom) as a function of electric field at high applied electric fields for (001) TGG and TSSG grown BaTiO<sub>3</sub> single crystals.

urate. By calculating the slope in the saturated region, the piezoelectric coefficient,  $d_{33}$ , was ~ 260 pC/N. This value is higher than for (001) (polar axis) oriented tetragonal BaTiO<sub>3</sub> crystals and confirms that this technique is viable for TGG crystals as well.

# C. Electromechanical Coupling, Piezoelectric, and Elastic Properties

Fig. 7 shows the electromechanical coupling coefficients,  $k_{33}$  and  $k_{31}$ , as a function of DC bias for a (001) crystal. The observed increase in coupling coefficients with DC bias is consistent with the observation that as-poled crystal.



Fig. 7. Electromechanical coupling coefficients,  $k_{33}$  (top) and  $k_{31}$  (bottom) as a function of DC bias for (001) TGG grown BaTiO<sub>3</sub> single crystal.

tals had low remanent polarizations. The value increases from 0.42 (no bias) to 0.51 at ~ 2 kV/cm. As shown by the previous data, a much higher electric field (E > 60-80 kV/cm) is necessary to achieve a single domain state; therefore, a higher  $k_{33}$  value may have been achieved, if higher fields could have been applied. Fig. 7 (top) shows the transverse electromechanical coupling coefficient as a function of DC bias. As in the case for the longitudinal coupling coefficient, an increase in magnitude with increasing DC bias is observed; however, the field is still too low to achieve a single-domain state. The maximum value of  $k_{31}$  was 0.18, which is significantly lower than the expected value of 0.32 [16], [20]. The magnitude of the electromechanical coupling coefficients also is influenced by the presence of voids because, as shown previously, these macro-defects can impede domain wall motion. The resulting difficulty in fully poling these crystals results in lower observed electromechanical coupling coefficients at the achievable electric fields. There is also sampleto-sample variability in the ability to achieve a well poled state, which probably accounts for the low  $k_{31}$  values relative to the observed  $k_{33}$ . Even when the fields are high, the samples partially depole upon the removal of the field, indicating that the domain state is not stable.

Table III shows the electromechanical properties calculated using the IEEE resonance technique. For elastic compliances,  $s_{ij}^{\rm D}$  (open circuited sample) and  $s_{ij}^{\rm E}$  (short circuited sample), the values were higher than expected. The effect of porosity on these values was calculated using mixing rules. It was found that, as the volume percent porosity increases, Young's modulus decreases, and hence the compliance increases [21]. However, the amount of increase cannot sufficiently account for the total increase in the values shown in Table III. Therefore, the increase in the values must be associated with the lower electromechanical coupling coefficients and higher values for the dielectric constant. The calculated piezoelectric coefficient,  $d_{33}$ , has a value of approximately 135 pC/N, and any errors from the calculation of this value should cancel out. This is in good agreement with the value calculated from high-field polarization data (Fig. 6). The piezoelectric coefficient,  $g_{33}$ , was calculated to be 30.6 Vm/N as compared to 57.5 Vm/N for fully poled BaTiO<sub>3</sub> single crystals (its value is lower primarily because of the higher value of  $\varepsilon_{33}^{\mathrm{T}}/\varepsilon_0$ ). The piezoelectric coefficients,  $d_{31}$  and  $g_{31}$ , measured from transverse properties show similar results to that of the longitudinal results with values lower than expected. The elastic compliances, however, match literature values closely.

# IV. CONCLUSIONS

Single crystals of  $BaTiO_3$  were grown by TGG, and the dielectric properties and crystal quality were evaluated and compared to high quality TSSG crystals. The effect of porosity on the dielectric constant is that the TGG crystals behave similar to a 0-3 composite in which the second phase is air, therefore, decreasing the dielectric constant with increasing porosity. Another effect of porosity on the TGG crystals was an observed difficulty in poling the crystals even under high fields (100 kV/cm), caused by the pinning of domain walls. The result of this is a lower remanent,  $P_{\rm R}$ , and saturation polarization,  $P_{\rm sat}$ , value and a higher coercive field,  $E_c$ . Due to the porosity, the DC bias applied during resonance measurements was not high enough to achieve a single-domain state; therefore, lower electromechanical coupling coefficients were observed. It is likely that the properties of these crystals may be improved further by eliminating the porosity from the crystals.

#### References

- J. P. Remeika, "A method for growing barium titanate single crystals," J. Amer. Ceram. Soc., vol. 76, no. 2, pp. 940–941, 1954.
- [2] F. Brown and W. H. Todt, "Floating-zone BaTiO<sub>3</sub>: preparation and properties," J. Appl. Phys., vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 1594–1598, 1964.
- [3] A. Linz, V. Belruss, and C. S. Naiman, "Solution-grown perovskites," J. Electrochem. Soc., vol. 112, no. 6, p. 60C, 1965.
- [4] D. Rytz, B. A. Wechsler, C. C. Nelson, and K. W. Kirby, "Topseeded solution growth of BaTiO<sub>3</sub>, KNbO<sub>3</sub>, SrTiO<sub>3</sub>, Bi<sub>12</sub>TiO<sub>20</sub> and La<sub>2-x</sub>Ba<sub>x</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub>," *J. Crystal Growth*, vol. 99, pp. 864–868, Jan. 1990.
- [5] Y. Tomita, "Technique for preparation of BaTiO<sub>3</sub> single crystal," New Ceram., vol. 4, no. 5, pp. 1–6, 1991.
- [6] S. Matsuzawa and S. Mase, "Method for producing a single crystal of ferrite," U.S. Patent 4339301, July 13, 1982.
- [7] M. Imaeda and S. Matsuzawa, "Growth of yttrium iron garnet single crystal by solid-solid reaction," in 1st Japan International SAMPE Symp., Nov. 28–Dec. 1, 1989, pp. 419–424.
  [8] T. Yamamoto and T. Sakuma, "Fabrication of barium titanate
- [8] T. Yamamoto and T. Sakuma, "Fabrication of barium titanate single crystals by solid-state grain growth," J. Amer. Ceram. Soc., vol. 77, no. 4, pp. 1107–1109, 1994.
- [9] T. Li, A. M. Scotch, H. M. Chan, M. P. Harmer, S. Park, and T. R. Shrout, "Single crystals of Pb(Mg<sub>1/3</sub>Nb<sub>2/3</sub>)O<sub>3</sub>-35 mol% PbTiO<sub>3</sub> from polycrystalline precursors," *J. Amer. Ceram. Soc.*, vol. 81, no. 1, pp. 244–248, 1998.
- [10] P. W. Rehrig, "Templated grain growth of BaTiO<sub>3</sub>-based perovskite single crystals," Ph.D. dissertation, The Pennsylvania State University, 1999.
- [11] S. H. Wemple, J. M. DiDomenico, and I. Camlibel, "Dielectric and optical properties of melt-grown BaTiO<sub>3</sub>," J. Phys. Chem. Solids, vol. 29, pp. 1797–1803, 1968.
- [12] K. S. Mazdiyasni and L. M. Brown, "Microstructure and electrical properties of Sc<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-doped rare-earth-oxide-doped and undoped BaTiO<sub>3</sub>," J. Amer. Ceram. Soc., vol. 54, pp. 539–543, 1971.
- [13] S. S. Lopatin and T. G. Lupeiko, "Properties of porous piezoelectric lead zirconate titanate ceramics," *Inorg. Mater.*, vol. 27, no. 9, pp. 1948–1951, 1991.
- [14] IEEE Standard on Piezoelectricity, ANSI/IEEE Std. 176-1987, American National Standards Insitute, 1987.
- [15] S. H. Wemple, "Polarization fluctuations and the opticalabsorption edge in BaTiO<sub>3</sub>," *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 2, no. 7, pp. 2679–2688, 1970.
- [16] B. Jaffe, W. R. Cook, and H. L. Jaffe, "Non-Metallic Solids," in *Piezoelectric Ceramics, Vol. 3.* New York: Academic, 1971.
- [17] R. E. Newnham, D. P. Skinner, and L. E. Cross, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, vol. 13, p. 525, 1978.
- [18] F. Jona and G. Shirane, *Ferroelectric Crystals, Vol. 1*. New York: Dover, 1993.
- [19] S. Wada, S. Suzuki, T. Nome, T. Suzuki, M. Osada, N. Kakihana, S.-E. Park, L. E. Cross, and T. R. Shrout, "Enhanced piezoelectric property of barium titanate single crystals with engineered domain configuration," unpublished.
- [20] M. Zgonik, P. Bernasconi, M. Duelli, R. Schlesser, P. Günter, M. H. Garrett, D. Rytz, Y. Zhu, and X. Wu, "Dielectric, elastic, piezoelectric, electro-optic, and elasto-optic tensors of BaTiO<sub>3</sub> crystals," *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 50, no. 9, pp. 5941–5948, 1994.
- [21] W. D. Kingery, H. K. Bowen, and D. R. Uhlmann, Introduction to Ceramics, Vol. 2. New York: Wiley, 1976, p. 776.



**Paul W. Rehrig** was born in Norristown, PA, in 1970. He earned his B.S. degree in ceramic science and engineering in 1993 and his M.S. degree in material science and engineering in 1995, both from The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA. He earned his Ph.D. degree in material science and engineering in 1999, also from The Pennsylvania State University.

In the spring of 1999 he worked at the Materials Research Laboratory as a postdoctoral scholar. He is currently a staff scientist work-

ing for TRS Ceramics Inc. His current research interests include the research and development of single crystal and textured ceramics piezoelectric materials for transducer and actuator applications. He is a member of the American Ceramic Society and Keramos, NICE.



**Susan Trolier-McKinstry** (M'92) earned her Ph.D. degree in ceramic science at The Pennsylvania State University in 1992. She is currently an associate professor in the same department, with a joint appointment at the Materials Research Laboratory.

Her interests include structure-property relations in ferroelectric materials, thin films for dielectric and piezoelectric applications, and optical characterization of materials. She is a member of IEEE (currently serving on the UFFC AdCom committee and as co-chair of

the committee writing the IEEE Standard of Ferroelectricity), the American Ceramic Society, the Materials Research Society, Keramos (National President), and the American Association for Engineering Education. She is a recipient of a National Science Foundation CAREER Award.



Seung-Eek Park was born in Seoul, Korea, in 1965. He received the B.S. and M.S. degrees in 1987 and 1989, respectively, and the Ph.D. degree in 1994, all from Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea, in inorganic materials engineering.

From 1994 to 1996, he was a postdoctoral scholar and has been a research associate at Materials Research Laboratory of The Pennsylvania State University, continuing his work on ferroelectric materials. His current research interests include the development of piezoelec-

tric materials for transducer and actuator applications, specifically crystallographic engineering in ferroelectric single crystals to achieve piezoelectric performance enhancement. He is currently a member of the American Ceramic Society and the Materials Research Society.



**Gary L. Messing** received his B.S. degree in ceramic engineering at the New York State College of Ceramics at Alfred University and his Ph.D. degree in materials science and engineering at the University of Florida. He was born April 13, 1951 in San Diego, CA.

Dr. Messing is Director of the Materials Research at The Pennsylvania State University and Professor of Ceramic Science and Engineering in the Department of Materials Science and Engineering. From 1991–1998 he was Director of the NSF Industry/University Co-

operative Research Center on Particulate Materials. He was a research scientist a Battelle Columbus Labs for two years before joining the faculty at The Pennsylvania State University in 1980, where he was promoted to professor in 1989. During his tenure at Penn State he has been a Visiting Professor at the University of Paris and a Research Fellow at Curtin University of Technology, Perth, Australia.

He has published over 160 papers on various aspects of ceramic processing, including solution synthesis, phase transformations, processing-microstructure relations, sintering, and grain growth. He has co-organized the International Ceramic Processing Science Conference since 1986 and co-edited 9 books. He was co-editor of the *Journal of the American Ceramic Society* from 1993–1998 and is on the Editorial Board of the *Journal of Sol Gel Science and Technology*. Dr. Messing received the Wilson Research Award of the College of Earth and Mineral Sciences at Penn State and the Richard M. Fulrath Pacific Award for his research on seeding of high temperature phase transformations. He was named Fellow the American Ceramic Society in 1990 and currently serves on the Board of Trustees. He is a member of the Materials Research Society, the American Ceramic Society, and the American Chemical Society.